



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/881,965	05/16/97	KUZMA	42390.P1901R

LM02/0621
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES CA 90025

EXAMINER

LEE, R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2713	

DATE MAILED: 06/21/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/881,965

Applicant(s)

Kuzma

Examiner

Richard Lee

Group Art Unit
2713



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Richard Lee

(3) _____

(2) Libby Ho

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 19, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Examiner informed Ms. Libby Ho that the proposed oath/declaration as provided via facsimile on June 16, 2000 is acceptable. Ms. Ho was also informed that the "Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b)" that was detached from the file and presumed lost as identified by the Examiner at paragraph (1) of the last Office Action (see Paper no. 8) has however been resurfaced and is currently attached to the file. Therefore, there is no need to resubmit the certification under 37 CFR 3.73(b) in response to the last Office Action (Paper no. 8). Ms. Ho was further reminded that the original patent or declaration should be submitted to the Office before the case could be allowed. Ms. Ho indicated to the Examiner that both the new oath/declaration and the original patent will be submitted to the Office later in the week. The Examiner is awaiting the response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

RICHARD LEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2713

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.